

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/557,944	EREKSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	John P Trimmings	2133	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) John P Trimmings.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) William A. Zarbhis.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 July 2004

**Time:** 2:00PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23-29, 31 and 32.

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicant has agreed to change the words "adapted to" wherever it occurs in the claims to recite, "configured to". The claims discussed were 23-29, 31 and 32.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)